

**0040-4039(94)E0102-4** 

## **Photooxygenation of y-Hydroxy Vinylstannanes and their Acyl Derivatives: Mechanistic Insight into the Hydroxy-Directing Effect**

## Waldemar Adam<sup>\*</sup>, Olaf Gevert<sup>\*</sup> and Peter Klug

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, **D-97074 Wikrzburg, Germany** 

Keywords: Singlet oxygen, ene reaction, y-hydroxy vinylstannanes, regioselectivity, diastereoselectivity, perepoxide, 1,3-allylic strain

Abstract: The photooxygenation of E- as well as Z-configurated γ-hydroxy vinylstannanes proceeded in high regio- and diastereoselectivity to yield, after subsequent triphenylphosphine reduction, the corresponding threostannyl diols 2 in high excess. Their acylated derivatives showed only low and solvent-dependent stereoselectivity, which was not significantly influenced by the static and electronic demand of the ester group.

The singlet oxygen ene reaction (Schenck reaction<sup>1</sup>) of chiral allylic alcohols<sup>2</sup> and amines<sup>3</sup> proceeds in a highly regio- and threo-selective manner in unpolar solvents; vinylstannanes<sup>4</sup> exhibit a pronounced gem selectivity. Accordingly,  $\gamma$ -hydroxy vinylstannanes, in which expectedly both the hydroxy directing effect and the gem **selectivity of the stannyl moiety act synergistically, should display high regio- and stereocontrol in the ene**  reaction with singlet oxygen (eq. 1). After subsequent reduction of the resulting hydroperoxides, stannylated

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n & & \mathsf{O}\mathsf{H} \\
& & \mathsf{1}_{\mathsf{O}_2} & \mathsf{P}\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{P} & \mathsf{HO}_{\mathsf{M}} \\
& & \mathsf{B}\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{S}\mathsf{n} & \mathsf{O}\mathsf{H} \\
& & & \mathsf{B}\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{S}\mathsf{n}\n\end{array} \tag{1}
$$

**diols would result, which constitute useful building blocks for synthetic purposes.5 On the other hand, such y**hydroxy vinylstannanes are valuable for mechanistic studies, since the stereochemistry of the singlet oxygen **ene reaction with allylic alcohols can be assessed without complications by regioisomeric ene products. Thus,**  through the facile stereoselective synthesis of  $(E)$ - and  $(Z)$ - $\gamma$ -hydroxy vinylstannes from the corresponding propargylic alcohols,<sup>6,7</sup> the opportunity is offered to examine the stereochemical consequence of 1,3-allylic strain between the hydroxy-bearing chirality center and the towards ene reaction inert (no allylic H abstraction) gem-selective stannyl group by placing the latter cis or trans to the hydroxy-steering functionality. The corresponding carbon analogues, e.g. tert-butyl groups, are cumbersome to prepare in a stereochemically **defined manner and have, consequently, not been subjected to the Schenck reaction.** 

**Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the photooxygenation of an allylic acetate proceeded in low eryrhro**  selectivity in carbon tetrachloride;<sup>2</sup> in fact, for allylic amines protection of the amino functionality by the large **phtbalimido group3 led to complete inversion of the three selectivity displayed by the free amine. Thus, it was of interest to determine the stenzoselectivity in the photooxygenation of chiral allylic esters by varying the steric** 

and electronic demand of the ester group. Herein we demonstrate that the photooxygenation of chiral y**hydroxy vinylstannanes and their acyl derivatives occurs in high regio- and stemoselectivity, which provides**  valuable mechanistic insight into the oxyfunctionalization of allylic alcohols by  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ .

The required  $(E)$ - $\gamma$ -hydroxy vinylstannanes  $(E)$ -**la,b** were prepared from the corresponding propargylic alcohols according to the literature<sup>6</sup> (44-56 % yield). The substrates (Z)-**la**,b were obtained by the addition of BugSnCu/Me<sub>2</sub>S/LiBr<sup>7</sup> to the respective propargylic ketones and subsequent reduction of the resulting stannyl **enones with diisobutylaluminium hydride. The allylic esters @)-k-g were prepanzd from (Q-la by acylation with the appropriate acid chloride for derivatives le-f or anhydride for lg (2.5 equiv. pyridine, DMAP.**  CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0  $\degree$ C), while substrate **1h** was obtained as previously reported.<sup>2</sup>

The photooxygenation of these substrates 1 was conducted at low temperature  $(-15 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C})$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (eq 2, Table I) with tetraphenylporphine as sensitizer. Subsequent triphenylphosphine reduction (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 <sup>o</sup>C) **yieIded the corresponding vinylstannanes 2 in 70-93 46 yield after flash chromatography on silica gel.** 



entry substrate 1<br>R<sup>1</sup> R<sup>2</sup> **R' F? x**  t (h)<sup>31</sup> conversion<sup>b</sup> regioselectivity<br>(%) 2:3 **W) 2:3 yield 2 diastereoselectivity** (%) threo-2 : erythro-2 **(1)** (&la **SnBq H H 2.5 100 100:o 83 %:5 (2)** (,?!)--%a SnB% H H 15 100 **88:ll 76 76 : 24**  (3) **(E)-1b** SnBu<sub>3</sub> CH<sub>3</sub> H 4 100 100:0 78  $95:5^{\circ}$ **(4)** (&lb **SnBb Ctk H 24 35 66:15 71 8) 94:6 d) (5) lc Snl% H COCCI, 53 z-96 1Oo:o 84 68:32 (81** Id St-Bus **H CCMBU r(;! >% loo:0 82 82:38 m \$a** -9Bu3 H ooocHs 44 >95 1oo:o **70 78 : 24**  (8) 1**f** SnBu<sub>3</sub> **H** COC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> 42 73 100:0 84<sup>°</sup> 67:33 **(8) 1g SnBus H COCFs 18.5 f) 14 loo:o a) %:34**  (i<br>92 : <del>8</del> **(161 fhCH3 H CC=& 20 83 82:8 el 57:43**  n) (1) (1) 9)<br>(11) 1h CH<sub>3</sub> H COCH<sub>3</sub> 3.5 68 87:13 - <sup>9)</sup> 42:

Table 1: Photooxygenation of y-Hydroxy Vinyistannanes 1 and Related Esters

a) Photooxygenation in CH<sub>2</sub>CI<sub>2</sub> at -15 °C with tetraphenylporphine as sensitizer; two 250-W sodium lamps; b) mass **balance >90 %; c) Z: E= 92:8; d) Z: E= 14:86; e) corrected for conversion;**  $f$ **) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>; in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> no reaction;** g) NMR scale; h) in CCl<sub>4</sub>; i) the other regioisomer was observed as 1,2-dioxolane, cf. ref.<sup>2</sup>

As shown in Table I, the introduction of the tributylstannyl group in the substrates  $(E)$ -la to lg completely suppressed the formation of regioisomeric ene products, which result from the abstraction of a hydrogen  $\alpha$  to an **oxygen atom. High regioselectivities were also obtained for the mspective 2 isomers. This was expected in**  view of the established gem-directing propensity of the stannyl moiety.

The photooxygenation of the vinylstannanes  $(E)$ -la,b (entries 1,3) proceeded in high threo diastereoselectivity.<sup>9</sup> Additionally, the new double bond was formed Z-selectively in a ratio of 92 : 8 (entry 3). **This was expected in view of the synergisru between the regio- and Z-selective photooxygenation of (E) vinylstannanes and that of allylic alcohols with cis alkyl groups to the chiral hydroxyethyl functionality. The**  remarkable *threo-selectivity* was previously explained<sup>2</sup> by an attractive interaction of the electrophilic singlet **oxygen with the nucleophilic hydroxy group. The pronounced 2 selectivity is rationalized in terms of**  appreciable 1,3-allylic strain due to methyl-hydrogen interaction in the intermediate A, which dominates the **1.2~allylic strain between the geminal staunyl and methyl groups in intemxdiate B. In view of the long tincarbon bond, the lower steric demand of the stannyl group10 is expected** 



The corresponding (Z)-y-hydroxy vinylstannanes (Z)-1a,b (entries 2,4), nonetheless, also reacted in good  $r$ egiocontrol  $(> 85 : 15)$ , but considerably slower, as expected for substrates in which the *cis* effect<sup>8</sup> is absent. **Also the diones 3 were formed, which result from decomposition of the intermediary, regioisomeric a**hydroperoxy allylstannanes. Furthermore, these photooxygenations also occurred in moderate threo selectivity **for la and in an excellent one for lb , which for the latter is as high as far the** *E* **isomer (entry 4). This was**  surprising, since during the H abstraction step the singlet oxygen cannot coordinate to the hydroxy group in view of the *trans* stereochemistry. The high preference of singlet oxygen to attack the double bond of the **stannylated substrates from the hydroxy side is in contrast to those substrates which bear a hydrogen atom**  instead; the latter do not exhibit any significant stereoselectivity.<sup>2</sup> 1,3-Allylic strain is presumably again responsible in fixing the conformation at the chirality center to result in such a high stereoselectivity. This 1,3allylic strain is more prominent in substrate 1b, since the preference for the  $E$ -configurated double bond  $(Z : E)$ *=* **14** : **86) in 2b suggests that significant 1,2-allyhc strain is built up between the stannyl aud the allylic methyl**  group (perepoxide C), which is not the case for **D**. Thus, (Z)-1b is sterically more compressed and should also exhibit larger 1,3-allylic strain, which is corroborated by the higher diastereoselectivity. Since for olefins with low electron density exciplex formation can be reversible,<sup>11</sup> we propose coordination of singlet oxygen to the hydroxy functionality in form of an exciplex and subsequent suprafacial migration followed by H transfer to **singlet oxygen for rationalixing the high selectivities.** 

**The photooxygenation of the allylic acetate lh revealed a small, but within the experimental error definite**  solvent effect (entries 10,11). Whereas the Schenck reaction proceeded in slight preference erythro-selectively  $(khreo : erythro = 42 : 58)$  in carbon tetrachloride,<sup>2</sup> in the more polar dichloromethane an inverted selectivity **(chreo : erythro = 57** : **43) was observed. only small energy differences govern these low diastereoselectivities,**  presumably through minor conformational changes in the transition state. Analogously, the photooxygenation **of the stannylated allylic acetates in dichloromethane** (E)-lc to lg **(entries 5-9) displayed also a small** *three*  **preference and yielded the corresponding hydroxy esters 2e-g. This low selectivity was not influenced**  significantly by the electronic demand of the ester (cf. entries 5,7,8,9), except that the reaction rate was **significantly slowed down, as becomes evident for the ttifluaroacetate lg (entry 9) versus the acetate lc (entry 5). Furthermore, also the steric demand of the ester group changed only slightly the diastemoselectivity (entries 5,6); e.g. the pivalate** Id **(entry** 6) **showed a slightly higher erythro selectivity than the acetate lc (entry 5).**  Contrary to the allylic amines,<sup>3</sup> the diastereoselectivity in the singlet oxygen ene reaction of chiral allylic **alcohols cannot be inverted by acylation. Thus, substitution by electron-withdrawing ester functionahties (entry**  8.9) only causes a decrease in reactivity, while steric factors affect the stereoselectivity only nominally through **a slight increase of the eryrhro product even for bulky ester groups. The higher conformationaI flexibility of**  esters obviates effective shielding of the double bond for appreciable diastereomeric control.

**In conclusion, y-hydroxy vinylstannanes are photoooxygenated regioselectively to the corresponding stannylated diols. In these allylic alcohols, the chirality center is fixed by 13-allylic strain and a strong preference for the zhreo product, imspective of the double bond configuration in the starting material is observed. Photooxygenation of the corresponding acylated vinylstannanes does promote eryrhro selectivity through steric effects, but not to a sufficient degree to be useful for preparative applications,** 

**Acknowledgement. Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 347 "Selektive Reaktionen Metall-aktivierter Molektlle") is gratefully appreciated. P. K. thanks the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for a doctoral fellowship ( 1992- 1994).** 

## **References and notes.**

- # **Undergraduate reseamh participient, winter 1992.**
- **1. Schenck, G. 0.; Eggert, I-L; Denk, W. Lie&i@** *Ann. Chem.* **1953,584, 177-198.**
- Adam, W.; Nestler, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5041-5049.
- $\frac{2}{3}$ . Adam, W.; Brünker, H.-G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993, *115*, 3008-3009.
- $\frac{4}{5}$ **Adam, W.; Klug, P.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1993.58, 34163420.**
- **Adam, W.; Klug. P.** *Synthesis,* **submitted.**
- **:: Lautens, M.; Huboux, A. H. Tetrahedron Left. 1990,31,3105-3108.**   $(E)$ -1a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta = 0.70$ -1.10 (m, 15 H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.20-1.70 **(m, 13H), 1.89 (d,** *J =* **1.8 Hz, 3H). 4.74 (m, lH), 5.56 (dq,** *J =* **7.8, 1.8 Hz,** *JHS~=* **68.4 Hz, 1H).**
- **7.**  Piers, E.; Chong, J. M.; Gustafson, K.; Andersen R. J. *Can. J. Chem.* 1984, 62, 1-5. **The cuprate additions and the subsequent reduction were performed as described for the synthesis of (E)-3-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-2-penten-l-ok however, cuprate addition to the ptopargylic ketones, contrary to the coreresponding esters, led predominantly (90** : **10) to the cotresponding (Z)-enones (93 %). which**  on reduction gave 47-83 %  $(Z)$ -**la,b**, as evident from the large  $J_{\text{HSn}}$  coupling constants. **(Z)-la: 1X-l NMR (CDC13, 200 MHz): 6 = 0.76-1.11 (m, 15 H), 1.25 (d,** *J =* **6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.20-1.70**
- **8. (m, 13H), 1.91 (d,** *J =* **1.6 Hz, 3H), 4.07 (m, lH), 6.06 (dq,** *J =* **8.5, 1.6 Hz,** *JHS~=* **122/127 Hz, 1H). (a) Grfanopoulos, M.; Grdina, S. M. B.; Stephenson, L. M.** *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1979,101, 275-276.**
- **9. (b) Schulte-Elte, K. H.; Muller, B. L.; Rautenstrauch, V. Helv. Chim.** *Acta* **<b>1978,** 61, 2777-278 **The stereochenncal asignmcnt of 2 rests on the fact that all rhreo-diols 2n,b and their acylated derivatives 2c-g show significantly larger vicinal coupling constants J2,3 (6.6-8.5 Hz) than the eryrhro isomers (3.8-4.5 Hz).2 Further support was obtained by NOE experiments** *on the cyclic* **acetonides of the dials [S\*, R\*-(Z)]-2b and [S\*, R\*-(E)J-2b. For rigorous structure assignment, the isomeric hydroxy esters te wem converted to the dial 2a thmugh reductive depmtection by diisobutylaluminium hydride.**
- 10. Kitching, W.; Doddrell, D.; Grutzner, J. B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1976, 107, pp. C-5 to C-10.11.
- **::: Grfanopoulos, M.; Smonou, I.; Foote, C. S.** *J. Am.* **Ckm. Sot. 1990,112, 3607-3614.**

*(Received in Germany 27 December 1993; accepted* **10** *Januav* **1994)**